



Fisheries and Oceans
Canada

Pêches et Océans
Canada

Ecosystems and
Coastal Science

Sciences des écosystèmes
et des côtes

Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat (CSAS)

Proceedings Series 2016/017

Newfoundland and Labrador Region

**Proceedings of the Newfoundland and Labrador Regional Peer Review of the 2Ps
Cod and White Hake Stock Assessments**

October 20-22, 2016

Chairperson : Dermot Wulfever

Reporteur : Carolee Currie

Fisheries and Oceans Canada

Science Branch

PO Box 5067

St. John's, NL, A1C 5X1

Foreword

The purpose of these Proceedings is to document the activities and key discussions of the meeting. The Proceedings may include research recommendations, uncertainties, and the rationale for decisions made during the meeting. Proceedings may also document when data, analyses or interpretations were reviewed and rejected on scientific grounds, including the reason(s) for rejection. As such, interpretations and opinions presented in this report individually may be factually incorrect or misleading, but are included to record as faithfully as possible what was considered at the meeting. No statements are to be taken as reflecting the conclusions of the meeting unless they are clearly identified as such. Moreover, further review may result in a change of conclusions where additional information was identified as relevant to the topics being considered, but not available in the timeframe of the meeting. In the rare case when there are formal dissenting views, these are also archived as Annexes to the Proceedings.

Published by:

Fisheries and Oceans Canada
Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat
200 Kent Street
Ottawa ON K1A 0E6

<http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas-sccs/>
csas-sccs@dfo-mpo.gc.ca



© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, 2016
ISSN 1701-1280

Correct citation for this publication:

DFO. 2016. Proceedings of the Newfoundland and Labrador Regional Peer Review of 3Ps Cod and White Hake Stock Assessments; October 20-22, 2015. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Proceed. Ser. 2016/017.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY	V
SOMMAIRE	VI
INTRODUCTION	1
PRESENTATIONS – 3PS COD	1
PHYSICAL OCEANOGRAPHIC CONDITIONS IN NAFO SUBDIVISION 3PS DURING SPRING 2015 - POTENTIAL INFLUENCES ON ATLANTIC COD	1
Abstract	1
Discussion	2
UPDATE ON COD SENTINEL SURVEY RESULTS IN NAFO SUBDIVISION 3PS FOR 2014 AND 2015	2
Abstract	2
Discussion	2
ECOSYSTEM OVERVIEW: TRENDS IN THE FISH COMMUNITY IN NAFO SUBDIVISION 3PS	3
Abstract	3
Discussion	5
REVIEW OF 2014/15 COD FISHING SEASON AND 2015/16 SEASON TO DATE	6
Abstract	6
Discussion	6
CATCH AND SURVEY TRENDS FOR 3PS COD	6
Abstract	6
Discussion	7
SURVEY BASED ANALYSIS (SURBA) FOR 3PS COD	7
Abstract	7
Discussion	8
COD TAGGING UPDATE	8
Abstract	8
Discussion	9
COD SPAWNING TIMES IN RELATION TO 3PS SPAWNING CLOSURE	10
Abstract	10
Discussion	10
HARVEST CONTROL RULE THROUGH SIMULATION FOR 3PS COD	10
Abstract	10
Discussion	11
COD FISHERY, INDUSTRY INPUT AND FFAW QUESTIONNAIRE UPDATE	11
Abstract	11
Discussion	12
COD PROJECTIONS AND FINAL DISCUSSION	12
COD SAR BULLETS	12
COD RESEARCH RECOMMENDATIONS	13

PRESENTATIONS – 3PS WHITE HAKE	13
3PS WHITE HAKE: BIOLOGICAL AND FISHERY OVERVIEW	13
Abstract	13
Discussion	14
WHITE HAKE FINAL DISCUSSION	14
WHITE HAKE SAR BULLETS	14
WHITE HAKE RESEARCH RECOMMENDATIONS	15
REFERENCES CITED	15
APPENDIX I: TERMS OF REFERENCE – 3PS COD	16
APPENDIX II: TERMS OF REFERENCE – 3PS WHITE HAKE	18
APPENDIX III: AGENDA	20
APPENDIX IV: LIST OF PARTICIPANTS	21

SUMMARY

A meeting of the Newfoundland and Labrador Regional Peer Review Process was held October 20-22, 2015, in St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, to assess the stock status of Atlantic Cod (*Gadus morhua*) and White Hake (*Urophycis tenuis*) in Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization (NAFO) Subdivision 3Ps. This proceedings report includes an abstract and summary of discussion for each presentation, and a list of recommendations. The meeting's terms of reference, agenda, and list of attendees are appended.

Participants at the meeting included staff from Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) Science and Fisheries Management, and representatives from the Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture, Memorial University of Newfoundland, and the fishing industry.

In addition to these proceedings, publications to be produced from the meeting include a Science Advisory Report and a comprehensive Research Document for each species, all to be available online on the [DFO Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat Website](#).

Compte rendu de la réunion d'examen régional par les pairs sur la morue franche et la merluche blanche dans la sous-division 3Ps de l'OPANO, région de Terre-Neuve-et-Labrador (2015)

SOMMAIRE

Une réunion du processus d'examen par les pairs de la région de Terre-Neuve-et-Labrador a eu lieu du 20 au 22 octobre 2015 à St. John's (Terre-Neuve-et-Labrador) pour évaluer l'état du stock de morue franche (*Gadus morhua*) et de merluche blanche (*Urophycis tenuis*) dans la sous-division 3Ps de l'Organisation des pêches de l'Atlantique Nord-Ouest (OPANO). Le présent compte rendu comprend un résumé et un sommaire des discussions liées à chaque présentation de même qu'une liste des recommandations. Le cadre de référence, l'ordre du jour et la liste des participants de la réunion sont joints.

Parmi les participants à la réunion, on retrouve des membres du personnel des secteurs des Sciences et de la Gestion des pêches du MPO, ainsi que des représentants du ministère des Pêches et de l'Aquaculture de Terre-Neuve-et-Labrador, de l'Université Memorial à Terre-Neuve-et-Labrador et de l'industrie des pêches.

En plus du présent compte rendu, les publications à produire émanant de la réunion incluent un avis scientifique et un document de recherche exhaustif pour chaque espèce, qui seront tous disponibles en ligne sur le site Web du Secrétariat canadien de consultation scientifique de Pêches et Océans Canada.

INTRODUCTION

The status of Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization (NAFO) Subdivision 3Ps Cod was last assessed in October 2014 (DFO 2015). The main objectives were to evaluate the status of the stock and to provide scientific advice concerning conservation outcomes related to various fishery management options. The current assessment was requested by Fisheries Management to provide the Minister with detailed advice on the status of the stock in order to inform management decisions for the 2016 fishing season.

The status of the White Hake stock in NAFO Subdivision 3Ps was last assessed in 2002 (DFO 2002). The current assessment was requested by Fisheries Management to provide the Minister with advice that will inform management decisions for the 2016 fishing season.

PRESENTATIONS – 3PS COD

PHYSICAL OCEANOGRAPHIC CONDITIONS IN NAFO SUBDIVISION 3PS DURING SPRING 2015 - POTENTIAL INFLUENCES ON ATLANTIC COD

Presenter: E. Colbourne

Abstract

Oceanographic data from NAFO Subdivision 3Ps during the spring of 2015 are examined and compared to previous years and the long-term (1981-2010) average. Sub-zero°C surface water was more widespread during the spring of 2014 and 2015 covering much of Atlantic Canada as far south as Halifax. In the 3Ps region in particular, the surface sea temperature (SST) decreased to 0.8°C below normal values during the spring of 2015.

The average bottom temperature in the 3Ps area is about $2.5 \pm 0.44^\circ\text{C}$. Since 2008, bottom temperatures have been increasing, reaching 1.8 standard deviations (SD) above normal at 3.3°C in 2011-12 before decreasing to 1 SD above normal at about 3°C in 2013-15. In the shallower areas, which are influenced by the inshore Labrador Current including St. Pierre Bank, parts of Burgeo Bank and areas to the east, temperatures show a high degree of inter-annual variability with an average of $0.3 \pm 0.73^\circ\text{C}$. In cold years average bottom temperatures are often sub-zero with values increasing since 2008 reaching 1.9 SD above normal in 2011 at 1.7°C . Since then bottom temperatures have decreased to about 1 SD above normal in 2012-13 and to about normal in 2014-15. Bottom temperatures in deeper water of the Laurentian and Hermitage Channels show positive (up to $+2^\circ\text{C}$) anomalies with temperature values $> 6^\circ\text{C}$ in some areas. Deeper slope waters on southeastern St. Pierre Bank were exceptionally warm during the past 4 years with values reaching 7°C - 10°C .

The extent of $< 0^\circ\text{C}$ water covering the bottom of St. Pierre and Green Banks in the 3Ps region decreased to near-zero in 2010-13 but increased to near 30% in 2015. The areal extent of bottom water in 3Ps with temperatures $> 3^\circ\text{C}$ has increased by about 15% from the low of 35% in 1990 covering about 50% of the total survey bottom area.

Variations in the abundance of cod from the Research Vessel (RV) surveys in strata directly influenced by Labrador Current shelf water appear to be correlated with bottom temperature ($r = 0.6$) indicating a potential climate effect on cod distribution in these areas. The distribution plots show a high number of zero survey catches in $< 0^\circ\text{C}$ water on St. Pierre Bank and regions to the east of the bank, mainly from 1985 to 1998 but also from 2001 to 2003. During 1999 and 2000 and in more recent years, larger catches became more widespread over St. Pierre Bank as cold ($< 0^\circ\text{C}$) water receded from the area.

Discussion

There was some discussion regarding the oceanographic environment having an overall warming trend as, although this is the case, during the last couple of years (2014-15) bottom temperatures have been around average. It was discussed how the Labrador Current and North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) could be influencing the bottom temperatures and clarified that the influence of these two factors is mainly observed in shallow waters and in the upper water column. Discussions considered whether predictions could be made about the environmental temperature trends in the area. As it stands, the NAO is very unpredictable and there is no way to tell what will happen in the future.

UPDATE ON COO SENTINEL SURVEY RESULTS IN NAFO SUBDIVISION 3P8 FOR 2014 AND 2015

Presenter: D. Madduck Parsons

Abstract

Unstandardized catch rates for Sentinel Surveys in NAFO Subdivision 3P8 were updated for 2014 and preliminary results were given for 2015. Gillnet catch rates (weekly average number of fish per net) in the most recent years remained low compared to 1986-88 catch rates. Catch rates in small mesh gillnet remained low. Length frequencies of cod caught in small mesh gillnet showed fewer fish at the larger of the two size modes (35-44 cm and 52-56 cm) than the gear catches, since 2000. Linetrawl catch rates (weekly average number of fish per 1,000 hooks) increased from 2000 to 2008, and showed an increase in the number of fish at the 64-54 cm size range from 2002 to 2004. Linetrawl catch rates decreased from 1986 to 1989 and remained lower than the series average until 2006, when catch rates increased. Since then, catch rates in linetrawl have shown a decline and have been below the series mean for the last six years.

Trends in liver and gutted body condition show a seasonal cycle, with condition declining over the winter and early spring, and increasing again over the summer once spawning occurs. Annually, trends in condition have varied over the time series, but in general, have declined from 2004-14. Both length and weight at age have declined in fish age 6 and older since the early part of the time series.

Standardized Sentinel Catch Rates

Sentinel catch rates were also standardized and modeled to account for seasonal and site effects. These standardized catch rates were presented for gillnet and linetrawl control locations. Age aggregated catch rates from sentinel surveys were higher in the early part of the time series for both gillnet and linetrawl, declined over the mid to late 1990s and have remained lower since then. In 2014, both linetrawl and gillnet standardized catch rates were below the series average. In the age dis-aggregated standardized catch rate series, cohorts produced in recent years have been weaker than stronger cohorts in the past (1989, 1987-88 in particular).

Discussion

Industry asked specifically about fish condition in Placentia Bay but as two-thirds of the fish caught come from the line trawl from the western portion of the inshore, making overarching conclusions is challenging for a broad area such as Placentia Bay.

Discussion ensued on whether this index is an appropriate representation of the inshore area. The survey is based on fishermen collecting for DFO so it seems to be a good representation of where the resource is being fished. Industry noted that they are willing to provide more samples if needed in this survey. The proportion of fish sampled is variable so the representation of

sample size and fish size should be taken into consideration. Observations in 2010 suggest that there are smaller fish being found in the survey but these are preliminary so caution must be taken when discussing these results.

ECOSYSTEM OVERVIEW: TRENDS IN THE FISH COMMUNITY OF NAPO SUBDIVISION IFS

Giuliana Kuen-Arocas, Nadine Hall, Teresa Paduano, and Jennifer Glaser

Presenter: M. Kuen-Arocas

Abstract

The structure and trends in the fish community of NAPO Subdivision IFS were evaluated on the basis of IFS biomass, abundance, and biomass-abundance (BA) ratios indices derived from IFS winter and spring surveys in the 1982-2010 period. These analyses involved the characterization of the community by fish functional groups which, for the most part, are defined considering the species size and general trophic characteristics. These functional groups are small, medium, and large herbivores, piscivores, planktivores, planktivores, and shellfish (this last group only includes commercial shellfish species, the *Paralichthys olivaceus* and *Siniperca kneri*). Relative data on shellfish are only available since 1985, when the fishing gear used in the survey was changed from an English to a Cameroonian boat. Since there are no conversion factors available for all species, many analyses were carried out partitioning the times series into English (1982-85) and Cameroonian (1985-2010) periods.

Changes in total biomass and internal structure of the fish community were described on the basis of trends over time, and analyzed using Bray-Curtis similarity matrices from standardized and non-standardized IFS biomass data. Analyses from non-standardized data allow the consideration of differences in magnitude, while those from standardized data allow a focus on internal structure of the fish community. Hierarchical agglomerative cluster analyses, together with Similarity Protests permutation tests were used to identify significant clusters of years within the English and Cameroonian periods. Changes in abundance were described considering the trends over time by fish functional groups, while the changes in fish sizes were evaluated using normalized estimates of BA ratios by functional group.

Food consumption by the fish community was explored using a suite of approaches aimed at estimating food requirements and/or average consumption rates (per unit of biomass) for different taxa. A total of eight different consumption rate were defined considering different combinations of consumption models (daily ration and simple stoichiometric models). As these models assume that consumers actually met their expected annual requirements, which could overestimate consumption, but also the expression of consumption to the population/stock level is based on IFS biomass estimates without catchability corrections, which may lead to underestimating consumption. Overall, these approaches considered together are not expected to provide a fine tuned estimate of consumption; instead, the goal is to produce a conservative envelope for the order of magnitude of food consumption by the fish community.

Diet composition was studied using stomach content analyses from samples collected during IFS IFS surveys. Diet studies focused on key fish species, including *Micropogonias undulatus*. Other related information like the frequency of empty stomachs, and the total size (approximated by the weight of the food remains in the stomach), were also examined.

Despite the issues associated with changes in timing of the survey (i.e. winter and spring), it is clear that the overall biomass of the fish community increased in the early 1980s, and later declined in the late 1980s and early 1990s. This decline also involved changes in the structure

of the fish community, and a general reduction in fish size. However, from the current position of the 1980s it is not obvious that the changes in community structure have a different ecological significance where significant numbers typically dominate commonest species of fish (i.e. community structure).

Since the mid 1970s, the overall ecology of the fish community has not changed significantly, but abundance has. Overall abundance increased and 20% are abundant species. These changes in abundance have been mainly driven by predation, which is a good overall consideration in the mid 1970s.

Further supporting the positive stability of the overall biomass level during the 1970s period (1970-1975), the biomass structure of the fish community has changed during this time. These changes have involved increases/decreases in biomass of the functional group that has either better observations. The overall pattern of change in structure from 1970-1975 is that the biomass structure has changed. This means among some functional groups are significantly increased in 2, while herbivores and plankton are generally considered medium and small herbivores are also generally considered. During the period 1970-1975, the fish community has shown a higher degree of stability in the mid 1970s, and a lower degree of stability in the mid 1970s.

Further changes include some changes in biomass in small herbivores, plankton, and plankton over the mid 1970s. Plankton show a relatively stable value across the mid 1970s, but experienced important changes in overall structure. This is due to the relative dominance of small herbivores, but also due to the increase in dominance of plankton, and a general change between small, medium and large.

Taking into account all these changes together, it seems that the fish community is the most numerous of some level of internal structure and abundance. It is also shown that a decrease in the overall abundance in the mid 1970s period. The overall pattern is that the mid 1970s period is characterized by increasing importance processes among increased stability in these years. Therefore, and in terms of community stability, the mid 1970s community is stable. Community stability processes through structure in plankton, and a functional community. The mid 1970s is mainly characterized by increasing stability (1970-1975), but it is characterized by higher stability.

Examining the rates of magnitude of consumption, the fish community is 10% a community is consumed in the range of 1-2 million tonnes per year. Within the community, plankton are estimated to be in the range of 10-15 million tonnes per year.

Food web in the early of 1970s continues to be dominated by food web, with abundance in the mid 1970s most important group. Plankton in food web is most important in range and although some species of food web have a 10% are the most important. The available evidence indicates that food web is very variable and in the region. This suggests that food community may be highly variable and potentially changing.

In the 1970s-1975 period, other predators the functional group, which are functional plankton and plankton as a dominant group. Plankton and food web important group is a group of plankton, plankton, food web, plankton and food web. Food web is the most important group.

When considering 10% with plankton, 10% food web and other plankton, it seems that food plankton variable abundance is an important group in the mid 1970s, with the high degree of food web plankton and food web as a 10% plankton phenomenon.

Examination of food web and frequency of empty stomachs indicates that plankton food web are of similar or larger magnitude than the food web in the 1970s and in the 1970s. Plankton, while the frequency of empty stomachs is also low. Food web and plankton, and the frequency of empty stomachs must be high. During the mid 1970s, these observations

© 1999 by S. Karger AG, Basel. 0959-2875/99/0000-0000\$10.00/0
This article is intended to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering any service. A registered professional should be consulted for advice.

† The estimated effect of the 100-hour requirement on the 1990s is the difference between the 1990s coefficient and the 1980s coefficient. The 1980s effect is the coefficient on the 1980 dummy variable. The 1990s effect is the coefficient on the 1990 dummy variable. The 1990s effect is the difference between the 1990s coefficient and the 1980s coefficient. The 1990s effect is the difference between the 1990s coefficient and the 1980s coefficient.

[illegible][illegible]

*The term "endogenous" means "generated from within." It was suggested that the concept of endogenous development is meant to imply something about just as much as the term "exogenous" is. However, it is not clear that the concept of endogenous development is meant to imply that the development of a country is determined by its own internal factors. It is possible that the concept of endogenous development is meant to imply that the development of a country is determined by its own internal factors, but it is not clear that this is the case.

It was indeed only the arrival of the British in 1801 that led to the abolition of slavery. The abolitionists argued that the slave trade was a violation of the rights of man and that the slave trade was a violation of the rights of man. The abolitionists argued that the slave trade was a violation of the rights of man and that the slave trade was a violation of the rights of man.

[illegible]

It is possible in the course of the correction process, one must not forget the importance of having variables used in the questionnaire. It is a good thing having good answers for some groups. The variables represent the use of a new strategy or approach, correct variables and the good variables used in the questionnaire.

Customers want to know about the content of groups, and not the cost of the group (e.g., location, the cost of materials). I agree that there is more to a quality group than just the cost of the group, but I think that is a quality issue, not a cost issue. The group is a quality issue, not a cost issue. The group is a quality issue, not a cost issue. The group is a quality issue, not a cost issue.

DOI: 10.1002/for

© 2006 The Authors
Journal compilation © 2006 Blackwell Publishing Ltd

© 2000 Blackwell Science Ltd, *Journal of Internal Medicine* 247: 361–368

© 2000 Blackwell Science Ltd, *Journal of Internal Medicine* 247: 395–402

1. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1998; 279: 1001-1005.

DOI: 10.1002/for

1. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1998; 280: 1000-1005.

© 2004 Blackwell Publishing Ltd, *Journal of Internal Medicine* 255: 103–110

Figure 1. The effect of the concentration of the solution on the adsorption of the dye. The concentration of the solution was 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.05, 0.06, 0.07, 0.08, 0.09, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, 10.0, 15.0, 20.0, 30.0, 40.0, 50.0, 60.0, 70.0, 80.0, 90.0, 100.0 mg/L. The concentration of the adsorbent was 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, 10.0, 15.0, 20.0, 30.0, 40.0, 50.0, 60.0, 70.0, 80.0, 90.0, 100.0 mg/L. The temperature was 25 °C. The pH was 7.0. The adsorption time was 24 h. The adsorption capacity was 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, 10.0, 15.0, 20.0, 30.0, 40.0, 50.0, 60.0, 70.0, 80.0, 90.0, 100.0 mg/g. The adsorption efficiency was 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, 10.0, 15.0, 20.0, 30.0, 40.0, 50.0, 60.0, 70.0, 80.0, 90.0, 100.0 %.

1990-1991, 1991-1992, 1992-1993, 1993-1994, 1994-1995, 1995-1996, 1996-1997, 1997-1998, 1998-1999, 1999-2000, 2000-2001, 2001-2002, 2002-2003, 2003-2004, 2004-2005, 2005-2006, 2006-2007, 2007-2008, 2008-2009, 2009-2010, 2010-2011, 2011-2012, 2012-2013, 2013-2014, 2014-2015, 2015-2016, 2016-2017, 2017-2018, 2018-2019, 2019-2020, 2020-2021, 2021-2022, 2022-2023, 2023-2024, 2024-2025, 2025-2026, 2026-2027, 2027-2028, 2028-2029, 2029-2030, 2030-2031, 2031-2032, 2032-2033, 2033-2034, 2034-2035, 2035-2036, 2036-2037, 2037-2038, 2038-2039, 2039-2040, 2040-2041, 2041-2042, 2042-2043, 2043-2044, 2044-2045, 2045-2046, 2046-2047, 2047-2048, 2048-2049, 2049-2050, 2050-2051, 2051-2052, 2052-2053, 2053-2054, 2054-2055, 2055-2056, 2056-2057, 2057-2058, 2058-2059, 2059-2060, 2060-2061, 2061-2062, 2062-2063, 2063-2064, 2064-2065, 2065-2066, 2066-2067, 2067-2068, 2068-2069, 2069-2070, 2070-2071, 2071-2072, 2072-2073, 2073-2074, 2074-2075, 2075-2076, 2076-2077, 2077-2078, 2078-2079, 2079-2080, 2080-2081, 2081-2082, 2082-2083, 2083-2084, 2084-2085, 2085-2086, 2086-2087, 2087-2088, 2088-2089, 2089-2090, 2090-2091, 2091-2092, 2092-2093, 2093-2094, 2094-2095, 2095-2096, 2096-2097, 2097-2098, 2098-2099, 2099-2100, 2100-2101, 2101-2102, 2102-2103, 2103-2104, 2104-2105, 2105-2106, 2106-2107, 2107-2108, 2108-2109, 2109-2110, 2110-2111, 2111-2112, 2112-2113, 2113-2114, 2114-2115, 2115-2116, 2116-2117, 2117-2118, 2118-2119, 2119-2120, 2120-2121, 2121-2122, 2122-2123, 2123-2124, 2124-2125, 2125-2126, 2126-2127, 2127-2128, 2128-2129, 2129-2130, 2130-2131, 2131-2132, 2132-2133, 2133-2134, 2134-2135, 2135-2136, 2136-2137, 2137-2138, 2138-2139, 2139-2140, 2140-2141, 2141-2142, 2142-2143, 2143-2144, 2144-2145, 2145-2146, 2146-2147, 2147-2148, 2148-2149, 2149-2150, 2150-2151, 2151-2152, 2152-2153, 2153-2154, 2154-2155, 2155-2156, 2156-2157, 2157-2158, 2158-2159, 2159-2160, 2160-2161, 2161-2162, 2162-2163, 2163-2164, 2164-2165, 2165-2166, 2166-2167, 2167-2168, 2168-2169, 2169-2170, 2170-2171, 2171-2172, 2172-2173, 2173-2174, 2174-2175, 2175-2176, 2176-2177, 2177-2178, 2178-2179, 2179-2180, 2180-2181, 2181-2182, 2182-2183, 2183-2184, 2184-2185, 2185-2186, 2186-2187, 2187-2188, 2188-2189, 2189-2190, 2190-2191, 2191-2192, 2192-2193, 2193-2194, 2194-2195, 2195-2196, 2196-2197, 2197-2198, 2198-2199, 2199-2200, 2200-2201, 2201-2202, 2202-2203, 2203-2204, 2204-2205, 2205-2206, 2206-2207, 2207-2208, 2208-2209, 2209-2210, 2210-2211, 2211-2212, 2212-2213, 2213-2214, 2214-2215, 2215-2216, 2216-2217, 2217-2218, 2218-2219, 2219-2220, 2220-2221, 2221-2222, 2222-2223, 2223-2224, 2224-2225, 2225-2226, 2226-2227, 2227-2228, 2228-2229, 2229-2230, 2230-2231, 2231-2232, 2232-2233, 2233-2234, 2234-2235, 2235-2236, 2236-2237, 2237-2238, 2238-2239, 2239-2240, 2240-2241, 2241-2242, 2242-2243, 2243-2244, 2244-2245, 2245-2246, 2246-2247, 2247-2248, 2248-2249, 2249-2250, 2250-2251, 2251-2252, 2252-2253, 2253-2254, 2254-2255, 2255-2256, 2256-2257, 2257-2258, 2258-2259, 2259-2260, 2260-2261, 2261-2262, 2262-2263, 2263-2264, 2264-2265, 2265-2266, 2266-2267, 2267-2268, 2268-2269, 2269-2270, 2270-2271, 2271-2272, 2272-2273, 2273-2274, 2274-2275, 2275-2276, 2276-2277, 2277-2278, 2278-2279, 2279-2280, 2280-2281, 2281-2282, 2282-2283, 2283-2284, 2284-2285, 2285-2286, 2286-2287, 2287-2288, 2288-2289, 2289-2290, 2290-2291, 2291-2292, 2292-2293, 2293-2294, 2294-2295, 2295-2296, 2296-2297, 2297-2298, 2298-2299, 2299-2300, 2300-2301, 2301-2302, 2302-2303, 2303-2304, 2304-2305, 2305-2306, 2306-2307, 2307-2308, 2308-2309, 2309-2310, 2310-2311, 2311-2312, 2312-2313, 2313-2314, 2314-2315, 2315-2316, 2316-2317, 2317-2318, 2318-2319, 2319-2320, 2320-2321, 2321-2322, 2322-2323, 2323-2324, 2324-2325, 2325-2326, 2326-2327, 2327-2328, 2328-2329, 2329-2330, 2330-2331, 2331-2332, 2332-2333, 2333-2334, 2334-2335, 2335-2336, 2336-2337, 2337-2338, 2338-2339, 2339-2340, 2340-2341, 2341-2342, 2342-2343, 2343-2344, 2344-2345, 2345-2346, 2346-2347, 2347-2348, 2348-2349, 2349-2350, 2350-2351, 2351-2352, 2352-2353, 2353-2354, 2354-2355, 2355-2356, 2356-2357, 2357-2358, 2358-2359, 2359-2360, 2360-2361, 2361-2362, 23

maximum. Over 2012-14, it averaged 5.05 (40% annual mortality), which is high especially considering that reported landings have been about half of the TACs over this time period.

As a result of improved recruitment and recent increases in the proportion mature-at-age, 62% of the 2015 SSB is comprised of fish of ages 4-7. The reliance on young spawners may be a concern, given that younger fish produce fewer and smaller eggs/larvae that may have reduced survival.

Year-effects are evident in the SURGEA residuals, consistent with the large inter-annual changes in survey estimates. In particular, most of the 2014 and 2015 residuals are negative (predicted values exceed observed), contrasted with 2013 results, where all but one residual value is positive. Accordingly, there is some retrospective pattern in the current assessment, notably in total mortality and spawner biomass. The estimated mortality patterns over the recent period have changed, with mortality now increasing while at a relatively high level, compared to a stable and decreasing trends in mortality estimated in the previous two assessments. In the present assessment, the estimated spawner biomass in 2014 has been revised downwards ($1.6 \times$ Bim assessment) compared to $1.4 \times$ Bim in the current assessment. These changes are due to both changes in the mortality estimates as well as new biological parameters used to compute the spawning biomass.

Projections of stock numbers to 2016 was undertaken, with projection inputs taken as recent averages. Five projection scenarios were considered, with total mortality over 2015-17 fixed constant at one of 80%, 90%, 100%, 110% and 120% of current values (defined as most recent three year average, i.e. 2012-14). Projection results indicate that the 2016 SSB increases in all cases (ranging from 25-45% above the 2015 estimate) but remains within the Cautious Zone. These increases are driven by the relatively abundant 2011 year-class. In each projection scenario, 2011 year-class (YC) is at least 50% of 2015 SSB, which is an atypical composition of the mature population.

Observations

Concern was raised as to how SURGEA handles a large tow from the survey and that the ages of that tow might be over represented. It was noted that the survey indices are computed using standard methods and this is not robust to large age outliers. The population model is not informed by the individual tows but the overall survey index of age.

It was suggested that mortality values (d) should be revisited as they may be too low currently, resulting in estimates of recruitment that are too high.

The increase in SSB in the model is to be considered with caution as there are a lot of young fish and it is the largest jump in SSB seen in the time series.

COD TAGGING UPDATE

Presenter: J. Bratley

Abstract

Cod tagging in NAFO Subdivision 3Ps was continued through to 2015. During 2008-11 tagging coverage was restricted to Pilepoint Bay (3Pba), however, coverage was expanded to include Fortune Bay (3Pfa) in 2012-14 and Miramichi Bay (3Pma) in 2013. Total numbers of tagged and released have increased from 983 in 2011 to $\geq 3,900$ in 2013. Annual exploitation rates ($\pm$ % harvested) were estimated for the mature region (3Pma, 3Pmb, 3Pba) and for various size groups of cod, based on recaptures of cod released within the preceding two years. Cod released within six weeks of the fishery opening or during the current fishing season were not

used to estimate harvest rates. The numbers of tags returned were adjusted by annual estimates of tag reporting rate based on a high-reward tagging study. The single tag reporting rate for the inshore of 3Ps during 2014 was 0.70 and averaged 0.77 during 1997-2014. The numbers of tagged cod available for capture at the time of the fishery each year was estimated after accounting for initial tagging mortality, tag loss, assumed natural mortality ($M = 0.2$), and recaptures in preceding years adjusted by the tag reporting rate. The estimates are influenced by the sizes of cod tagged due to selectivity of commercial fishing gear and larger cod (> 60 cm) tend to be more readily selected by gillnets than smaller ones, estimates for 2014 were similar to those for 2013 and ranged from 10% to 16% depending on cod size groups. The harvest rates for 2014 based on cod > 60 cm at tagging were 14% and 15% in 3Psb and 3Psc, respectively. Only 54% (approx.) of the TAC was taken in 2014; if the full TAC was taken harvest rates would have approximately doubled given that most of the unharvested TAC was available to the inshore sector. Only 2.7% (of 566 recaptures since 2011) from cod tagged in Placentia Bay were recaptured in 3KL. Tagging in the western portion of the inshore (3Psa) indicated considerable movement between 3Pn and the extreme west of 3Psa, whereas cod tagged further east in Hermitage Bay tended to move eastward into Fortune Bay. Recent tagging suggests exploitation of 3Ps cod in neighbouring stock areas (3KL/3Pn) is not a major issue for management. Overall the tagging indicates extensive movement between coastal areas among 3Ps cod tagged inshore, but more limited offshore movement.

Discussion

Many comments focussed on where tagging took place and if it was in the fishing industry's specific area. It was asked if any tagging had been done on Burgeo Bank and St. Pierre Bank, and if so, were fish caught in Fortune Bay or Placentia Bay? There has not been any tagging offshore in recent years but in the past there was some in the Halibut Channel, with many recaptures in Placentia Bay but very few in Fortune Bay or the western portion of the inshore.

It was asked what the literature suggests about exchange between 3Ps and 4R (Gulf) stocks and how the data is handled when a large catch is encountered. If there is a large survey catch in 3Ps (Burgeo Bank) DFO would look at the age structure to see if there is evidence of a strong year class. DFO would also look at data from the Gulf Region's assessment to see if it is also strong there. If not, that indicates cod are from the 3Ps stock but if similar, there is more uncertainty as the likelihood of mixing between these stocks is higher.

It was asked why so few fish were tagged in 2015 and the response was the overall lack of resources. It was commented that 2015 was a very poor year for fish in Placentia Bay which normally has a good fishery, especially in the Western portion of the Bay.

Comments were raised that mortality could be calculated from the tagging data but this would be challenging. Based on the tagging data, there is a 10-15% exploitation rate. However, as only about half the TAC has been taken in recent years the take home message is that there is a small exploitable biomass of 3Ps cod. It was noted that the exploitation rate is based only on the inshore component of the stock, not the 3Ps stock as a whole.

A harvester asked if any of the fish tagged in Placentia Bay were caught in 3L, and what percent of tags from 3Ps are going into 3L. Only 2.7% of the recaptures came from 3L. It was also asked if any of the tags from Bay Bulls are being caught in 3Ps, specifically in Placentia Bay. The response was that they are, and probably a higher percentage than in the other direction but this makes sense as there is a larger fishery there.

It was asked if inshore tags are being caught in offshore areas. Some are but the extent is unknown at this point. It was also asked if the dragger fleet catch fish in the offshore winter

fishery. There are not many recaptures but some tags from Placentia Bay and Petty Harbour and Bay Bulls were taken from overwintering fish aggregations in the Halibut Channel.

COD SPAWNING TIMES IN RELATION TO 3PS SPAWNING CLOSURE

Presenter: R. Rideout

Abstract

A seasonal closure of the entire 3Ps stock area (typically March 1 to mid-May) occurs annually and is intended to prevent fishing during the cod spawning season. Fishing was permitted in March, 2014 and 2015 to provide harvesters with increased flexibility in accessing the resource. At least some harvesters believed the time of spawning to be delayed in recent years and that the timing of the closure might therefore be inappropriate. In 2015, fish collected from the Halibut Channel area (Southern 3Ps) by industry in March and from the DFO multispecies survey in April-May were examined in detail in order to evaluate their reproductive status. Results suggested that fish in this region did not spawn in March in 2015 but some individuals were late in the development process and likely near the start of spawning. Data and biological samples from the 2015 DFO Survey (April/May) suggested spawning began sometime in April/early May. Modelling of the day at which 50% of female fish in the survey data were spent (D50) demonstrated annual variability in spawning time but no trend toward later spawning in recent years. It is important to note that these results apply to a specific area in a specific year and that decisions regarding spawning closure times should consider the potential for spatial and interannual differences in spawning time.

Discussion

Industry asked if the cod that were assessed as spawning were in spawning aggregations and undergoing vertical migrations in the water column (a common characteristic of fish actively spawning) as there were concerns that they might have escaped the gear. This was not a concern due to the evidence of spawning biological characteristics that last for weeks in cod.

It was asked to what extent skipped spawning was observed. There is a substantial amount of skipped spawning occurring in 3Ps and this is a concern, as skipped spawning is generally linked to energetic challenges. Studies have been conducted in the past to investigate skipped spawners and energetics, but none in recent years so it might be useful re-visit the proportion of skipped spawners.

There is concern from some in industry that because cod aggregate to spawn and they may be more vulnerable, they want to avoid the spawning aggregations and therefore the closure should be investigated in more detail. This will be assessed at a later date. However, some industry representatives would like to continue the spawning study as there has not been a lot of data collected; the small amount of data is due to the low fishing effort.

The goal of this study was to determine if fish are spawning in March. The peak spawning time was not determined and more/ longer periods of spawning data were recommended.

HARVEST CONTROL RULE THROUGH SIMULATION FOR 3PS COD

Presenter: P. Shelton

Abstract

In 2014, in keeping with the DFO Sustainable Fisheries Framework (SFF) and Decision Making Framework (DMF) policies of 2009, DFO adopted a Conservation Plan and Rebuilding Strategy

(CPRS) for rebuilding and sustainably managing 3Ps cod. The CPRS was applied to "inform" Canada's position at the bilateral meeting with France in the setting of the 2015 TAC and it is anticipated that it will again be applied in this role with respect to the 2016 TAC. The CPRS is expected to be compliant with the objectives of the SFF and DMF and a full review of whether or not it is achieving these objectives is being planned for after March, 2016. In preparation for this review, the harvest control rules (HCRs) that comprise the CPRS were tested through simulation to determine whether or not the CPRS is likely to achieve the SFF/DMF objectives under a range of likely conditions, including uncertainties. Results thus far suggest that performance of the CPRS depends on which Zone you start in (Critical, Cautious, and Healthy), steepness of the stock-recruit relationship, the amount of process and observation error, and whether or not the perceived SSB limit reference point equals the true (simulated) limit reference point. In general, the CPRS works better when process and observation errors are low, but performance deteriorates as the errors increase. It was found that in most cases the CPRS results in the SSB tracking slowly up towards the Healthy Zone with fishing mortality (F) tracking slowly down towards F_{msy} . There may be concern that these responses are generally very slow under the conditions tested, compared to the much more rapid response in an unfished case. Yield was found to be generally less than the MSY level under a range of conditions, while under some conditions the SSB over-rebuilds and fishery, in terms of catches, declines to well below MSY. Under anticipated levels of uncertainty (process and observation errors) it may be hard to achieve < 10% risk of falling below Blim or to achieve a < 30% risk of exceeding F_{msy} .

Discussion

There were questions regarding how the simulations work and what data is used. The simulations are done based on reasonable ranges of values for each parameter in order to obtain a population size estimate.

A question was raised as to why only a portion of the parameter space was explored (i.e. not a fully factorial design). The presenter indicated it would be possible but has not been completed at this time.

There was a suggestion to explore mortality at different times in the life-cycle of the fish such as at age 0.

It was asked if one of the scenarios can describe the stock at the moment with the SSB going up but with a large number of young fish. At this time it is unknown.

There were comments to encourage the simulations to continue and more concrete decisions and advice to be given at the next meeting.

COD FISHERY, INDUSTRY INPUT AND FFAW QUESTIONNAIRE UPDATE

Presenter: E. Carruthers

Abstract

Fish harvesters attending the assessment meeting reported smaller fish in 2014 and were concerned by the absence of large fish both in the commercial fishery and in the RV data. Approximately 45% of the TAC was not caught in 2014. Consequently, fish harvesters were concerned that taking the full TAC would negatively impact the stock. The abundance of grey seals in Fortune Bay and in areas to the west appears to be high and harvesters were concerned about the potential for higher mortality and lower quality associated with seal abundance.

Canadian fixed-gear fish harvesters' perspectives on the 2014 fishery were compiled based on the results of the telephone survey conducted by the FFAW. Most fish harvesters surveyed reported that the 2014 abundance was similar to 2013 or was more abundant than in 2013. Catch rates were reported as better than average compared to historically. All harvesters fishing St. Pierre Bank reported cod were in good condition and larger fish were reported from the Bank. The abundance of baitfish (herring, capelin, squid and mackerel) was reported as being at a low level and decreasing throughout 3Ps. The exception was sandlance abundance on St. Pierre Bank, which harvesters reported as having good abundance and increasing.

Discussion

It was noted that the Industry Perspectives section included in previous versions of the Science Advisory Reports was being moved into the "Other Considerations" section of the SAR to conform to the National template. There was some discussion in this regard but industry was reassured that their contribution would be added to this section of the SAR in verbatim, as in previous years.

Comments were raised when comparing the years in the telephone survey; are the fisherman fishing in the same areas when comparing the survey among years?

Discussion ensued regarding biases in the telephone survey in that the participation rate may have changed. This 2015 survey yielded 30-50% less respondents than last year (2014). A suggestion to reduce the bias (if it exists) was to incorporate inshore and offshore questions.

Harvesters present at the meeting noted that for the current year to date, catches have been poor. It was stated that there is interest to see how the telephone survey next year reflects these observations.

COD PROJECTIONS AND FINAL DISCUSSION

A three-year projection is too unpredictable due to the high mortality rate (z), making the SSB potentially variable in the coming years and, therefore, a projection will be provided for only one year.

There is concern that only 50% of the TAC has been taken in recent years, and uncertainty around what would happen if the full TAC was caught. Also, it was not clear how the harvest control rule takes this into account.

There was much discussion regarding how to provide the science advice to managers given the recent (October, 2014) adoption of the CPRS by Canada. It was suggested to provide the CPRS TAC but also outline other considerations or exceptional circumstances, for example: explain the z -multipliers/projections; statement that although SSB is projected to increase, half of the SSB in 2016 could be comprised of one (2011) year class. It was noted that other considerations would not mean that the CPRS TAC should not be followed.

COD SAR BULLETS

- The DFO survey covers most of the stock area and is designed to provide an index of stock size. Therefore, consistent with recent assessments, a cohort model (SURBA) based on this survey was used to infer overall stock trends.
- The stock is currently in the cautious zone as defined by the DFO PA Framework. The spawning stock biomass (SSB) has declined since 2012 and is currently estimated to be 41% above the limit reference point (LRP; $B_{\text{Recovery}} = \text{SSB}_{1994}$). The probability of being below

the LRP in 2015 is low ($p = 0.05$). Concern is expressed that there are few older fish in the SSB.

- Recruitment has improved over the last decade with most cohorts at or above the time-series (1983-2013) average. In particular, indications are that the 2011 and 2012 cohorts are strong.
- Estimated total mortality has generally been increasing since 1997 to near the time series maximum. Over 2012-14, it averaged 0.65 (48% annual mortality), which is high especially considering that reported landings have been about half of the TACs were taken over this time period.
- Projection of the stock to 2016 was conducted assuming mortality rates will be within $\pm 20\%$ of current values (2012-14 average). Projected SSB increases in all cases (ranging from 23-46%) but remains within the Cautious Zone. These increases are driven by the relatively abundant 2011 year-class.
- Based on the Conservation Plan and Rebuilding Strategy adopted by Canada the calculated TAC for 2016/17 would be 13,043 t.
- Recent trends in mean size and weight at age, fish condition, and age at maturity are at or near their lowest observed levels, suggesting reduced productivity of this stock. This is consistent with broader ecosystem trends which also suggest decreased productivity.

COD RESEARCH RECOMMENDATIONS

- Investigate cod consumption by seals, and get an estimate of the seal population in the area;
- Determine the sources of mortality in 3Ps cod and why the z is high;
- Look into pre-spawning as well as spawning, determine the timing of peak spawning to merit the current closure.

PRESENTATIONS – 3PS WHITE HAKE

3PS WHITE HAKE: BIOLOGICAL AND FISHERY OVERVIEW

Presenter: M. Simpson

Abstract

White Hake in NAFO Subdivision 3Ps and Divisions 3NO inhabits the southern Grand Bank and St. Pierre Bank of NL, associated with the warmest bottom temperatures ($> 4^{\circ}\text{C}$). White Hake is a demersal gadoid species, subject to ongoing mortality in directed and bycatch fisheries conducted by Canada (Divs. 3NOPs within its Exclusive Economic Zone) and other countries (in the NAFO Regulatory Area of Divs. 3NO). The DFO-NL spring survey abundance index for Divs. 3NOPs peaked in 2000, due to a very large 1999 year-class. Annual NAFO-reported landings from Subdiv. 3Ps averaged 619 tonnes in 1994-2002, increased to an average of 1,450 t in 2003-07 (following recruitment of the 1999 year-class to the fishery), then decreased to a 338 t average in 2008-14. Since 2003, the Subdivision 3Ps biomass index has been in decline, while recruitment remains low.

Available evidence suggests that current White Hake productivity, like other piscivores, may be hindered in Subdivision 3Ps, therefore it is advised that higher than usual risk-aversion be

considered in the management of these stocks. If White Hake in Subdivision 3Ps is to recover, it will be due to favourable changes in environmental conditions that allow successive years of good recruitment. The most effective way to assist in rebuilding the White Hake population is to conserve as much spawning biomass as possible.

Discussion

It was asked how the abundance of White Hake was derived and if there was a lot of variance around the abundance estimate. The abundance was derived using a STRAP estimate and there was not a substantial amount of variance.

There was discussion on whether Subdivision 3Ps should be added with 3Pn in the NAFO assessment, as there is no distinguishing feature or division for the stock areas.

White Hake are extremely low in abundance, are fished out very quickly and COSEWIC has recommended them for listing. The fishery is currently unlimited, therefore a TAC needs to be set. It was recommended to use the status quo or the average for the last three fishing years because the current fishing does not seem to be affecting the biomass (stable). The table appeared to agree with this reasoning although it was pointed out that the ecosystem is changing and there is the potential for a food limiting environment.

WHITE HAKE FINAL DISCUSSION

Overall White Hake are stable but need to be managed due to the increasing economic value. The 3Ps stock would be better managed if not separated from the surrounding stocks and assessed in conjunction with the NAFO 3NO meetings.

WHITE HAKE SAR BULLETS

- Since 2009, NAFO reported landings from Subdivision 3Ps averaged 310 t annually, while NL-ZIFF-reported landings averaged 243 t and were predominantly from White Hake-directed gillnet (71%) and longline (25%) fisheries.
- Using the past three years as status quo, current landings from Subdivision 3Ps are below their historic levels. In Subdivision 3Ps, status quo landings was 261 t (195.5-328.5 t, range $\pm 25\%$), while the status quo was 18 t (13.5-22.5 t, range $\pm 25\%$) for Subdivision 3Pn.
- Biomass of this stock increased in 2000-03, generated by the large recruitment in previous years. Subsequently, the biomass index has declined.
- Recruitment in 2000 was very large, but no large year class has been observed since then. Recruitment was higher in 2011, but not comparable to the very high recruitment observed in 2000.
- Relative fishing mortality (Rel. F) has fluctuated, but increased considerably in 2003-05. Current estimates of Relative F are near average.
- Available evidence suggests that current White Hake productivity, like other piscivores, may be hindered in Subdivision 3Ps, therefore it is advised that higher than usual risk-aversion be considered in the management of these stocks.
- If White Hake in Subdivision 3Ps is to recover, it will be due to favourable changes in environmental conditions that allow successive years of good recruitment. The most effective way to assist in rebuilding the White Hake population is to conserve as much spawning biomass as possible.

WHITE HAKE RESEARCH RECOMMENDATIONS

- Age determination should be conducted on otolith samples collected during annual Canadian surveys (1972-2014+); thereby allowing age-based analyses of this population.
- Collection of information on commercial catches of White Hake should continue and also include sampling for age, sex and maturity to determine if this is a recruitment fishery.
- Survey conversion factors between the Engel and Campelen gear should be investigated for this stock.
- Continue work on the development of population models for this stock to help quantify B_{msy} and provide short term biomass projections for this stock.

REFERENCES CITED

- Cadigan, N. 2010. Trends in Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization (NAFO) Subdivision 3Ps Cod (*Gadus morhua*) stock size based on a separable total mortality model and the Fisheries and Oceans Canada Research Vessel survey index. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2010/015. iv +
- DFO. 2015. Northern (NAFO Divs. 2J3KL) Cod Stock Update. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Resp. 2015/018.

APPENDIX I: TERMS OF REFERENCE – 3P's COD

3P's Cod Stock Assessment

Newfoundland and Labrador Regional Peer Review Process

October 29-31, 2015

St. John's, NL

Chairperson: Daniel Mulvaney

Context

The status of Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization (NAFO) Subdivision 3P's Cod was last assessed in October 2014 (CFO 2015). The main objectives were to evaluate the status of the stock and to provide scientific advice concerning conservation outcomes related to various fishery management options. The current assessment is requested by Fisheries Management to provide the Minister with detailed advice on the status of the stock in order to inform management decisions for the 2016 fishing season.

Objectives

- Provide an ecosystem overview (e.g., environment, predators, prey) for the stock area.
- Assess and report on the current status of the 3P's Cod stock. In particular, assess current spawning biomass relative to baseline conservation thresholds (B_{lim}), total (age 3+) biomass, exploitation rate, natural mortality, total mortality, and biological characteristics (including age composition, size at age, age at maturity, and distribution). Describe these variables in relation to historic observations.
- Further to the previous assessment, analyse recent year class strength relative to previous observations, as it relates to long term growth and sustainability of the stock.
- To the extent possible, provide information on the strengths of year-classes expected to enter the exploitable populations in the next 1-3 years.
- Provide annual projections to 2018 based on the assessment of trends in the abundance index, biomass index and other stock indicators, including associated risk analyses. Specifically, these analyses will include an assessment of the trends in the stock and in the risks compared to B_{lim} .
- Highlight major sources of uncertainty in the assessment, and where appropriate, consider alternative analytical formulations of the assessment.
- Report on results of tagging and the distribution of the stock in other areas (e.g., 3L/3P's).
- Summarize the data collected during the spawning closure in 2015.
- Calculate the suggested TAC as per the harvest control rules, which have been approved as part of the "3P's Cod Conservation Plan and Rebuilding Strategy".

Expected Publications

- Science Advisory Report
- Proceedings
- Research Document

Participation

- a. Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) (Science, Fisheries Management)
- b. Fishing industry
- c. IFSC/IFRA (French Research Institute for Exploitation of the Sea)
- d. Provincial Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture (DPA)
- e. Academia
- f. Interest groups
- g. Non-government organizations

References

- (DFO) 2010. Stock Assessment of Gulf of St. Lawrence (GSL) and (DFO) 2011. Gulf of St. Lawrence (GSL) Stock Assessment Report 2010/2011.

1. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1997; 277: 1039-1043.

[illegible]

- Provide an appropriate overview (e.g. environment, qualitative part of the audit)
- Review and report on the status of the client business in commercial terms, including overall trading performance, together with trading and non-trading income and expenditure, and resulting from the commercial trading operation, cash resources
- Review financial data from the accounts together with 2019 accounting notes, taxation, shareholders, non-directors and going concern statement if relevant
- Review R_{10} in relation to R_{11} for the client and report on the current position and compare current to R_{10}
- Provide overall projections to 2019 based on the current 100% of report on the trading and non-trading income and other stock indicators, including discussion on progress. Specifically, these figures are based on assessment of the status of the client prior to the risk compared to R_{10}
- Reconcile the 2019 period to trading indicators
- Establish a list of indicators, where period as well as current and previous
- Review guidance on what information needs to be included in the production and frequency of monitoring business performance across and across the audit report or other than additional assessment

[illegible]

- ☐ **Business Strategy Report**
- ☐ **Management Report**
- ☐ **Financial Statement**

6. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1997; 277: 1001-1005.

- a. Fisheries and Aquaculture Canada (1973) (Source: Fisheries Management)
- b. Fishing industry
- c. Fisheries Research Board of Canada (1973) (Source: Fisheries Management)
- d. Fisheries Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture (1973)
- e. Government

000 000 000 000

© 1999 Blackwell Science Ltd, *Journal of Internal Medicine* 245: 385–390

Question	Answer
1. The following are the components of the accounting cycle:	Journalizing, Posting, Balancing, and Closing.
2. The first step in the accounting cycle is:	Journalizing.
3. The second step in the accounting cycle is:	Posting.
4. The third step in the accounting cycle is:	Balancing.
5. The fourth step in the accounting cycle is:	Closing.
6. The fifth step in the accounting cycle is:	Reversing.
7. The sixth step in the accounting cycle is:	Adjusting.
8. The seventh step in the accounting cycle is:	Preparing financial statements.
9. The eighth step in the accounting cycle is:	Closing the books.
10. The ninth step in the accounting cycle is:	Reversing the closing entries.
11. The tenth step in the accounting cycle is:	Adjusting the entries.
12. The eleventh step in the accounting cycle is:	Preparing financial statements.
13. The twelfth step in the accounting cycle is:	Closing the books.
14. The thirteenth step in the accounting cycle is:	Reversing the closing entries.
15. The fourteenth step in the accounting cycle is:	Adjusting the entries.
16. The fifteenth step in the accounting cycle is:	Preparing financial statements.
17. The sixteenth step in the accounting cycle is:	Closing the books.
18. The seventeenth step in the accounting cycle is:	Reversing the closing entries.
19. The eighteenth step in the accounting cycle is:	Adjusting the entries.
20. The nineteenth step in the accounting cycle is:	Preparing financial statements.
21. The twentieth step in the accounting cycle is:	Closing the books.
22. The twenty-first step in the accounting cycle is:	Reversing the closing entries.
23. The twenty-second step in the accounting cycle is:	Adjusting the entries.
24. The twenty-third step in the accounting cycle is:	Preparing financial statements.
25. The twenty-fourth step in the accounting cycle is:	Closing the books.
26. The twenty-fifth step in the accounting cycle is:	Reversing the closing entries.
27. The twenty-sixth step in the accounting cycle is:	Adjusting the entries.
28. The twenty-seventh step in the accounting cycle is:	Preparing financial statements.
29. The twenty-eighth step in the accounting cycle is:	Closing the books.
30. The twenty-ninth step in the accounting cycle is:	Reversing the closing entries.
31. The thirtieth step in the accounting cycle is:	Adjusting the entries.
32. The thirty-first step in the accounting cycle is:	Preparing financial statements.
33. The thirty-second step in the accounting cycle is:	Closing the books.
34. The thirty-third step in the accounting cycle is:	Reversing the closing entries.
35. The thirty-fourth step in the accounting cycle is:	Adjusting the entries.
36. The thirty-fifth step in the accounting cycle is:	Preparing financial statements.
37. The thirty-sixth step in the accounting cycle is:	Closing the books.
38. The thirty-seventh step in the accounting cycle is:	Reversing the closing entries.
39. The thirty-eighth step in the accounting cycle is:	Adjusting the entries.
40. The thirty-ninth step in the accounting cycle is:	Preparing financial statements.
41. The fortieth step in the accounting cycle is:	Closing the books.
42. The forty-first step in the accounting cycle is:	Reversing the closing entries.
43. The forty-second step in the accounting cycle is:	Adjusting the entries.
44. The forty-third step in the accounting cycle is:	Preparing financial statements.
45. The forty-fourth step in the accounting cycle is:	Closing the books.
46. The forty-fifth step in the accounting cycle is:	Reversing the closing entries.
47. The forty-sixth step in the accounting cycle is:	Adjusting the entries.
48. The forty-seventh step in the accounting cycle is:	Preparing financial statements.
49. The forty-eighth step in the accounting cycle is:	Closing the books.
50. The forty-ninth step in the accounting cycle is:	Reversing the closing entries.
51. The fiftieth step in the accounting cycle is:	Adjusting the entries.
52. The fifty-first step in the accounting cycle is:	Preparing financial statements.
53. The fifty-second step in the accounting cycle is:	Closing the books.
54. The fifty-third step in the accounting cycle is:	Reversing the closing entries.
55. The fifty-fourth step in the accounting cycle is:	Adjusting the entries.
56. The fifty-fifth step in the accounting cycle is:	Preparing financial statements.
57. The fifty-sixth step in the accounting cycle is:	Closing the books.
58. The fifty-seventh step in the accounting cycle is:	Reversing the closing entries.
59. The fifty-eighth step in the accounting cycle is:	Adjusting the entries.
60. The fifty-ninth step in the accounting cycle is:	Preparing financial statements.
61. The sixtieth step in the accounting cycle is:	Closing the books.
62. The sixty-first step in the accounting cycle is:	Reversing the closing entries.
63. The sixty-second step in the accounting cycle is:	Adjusting the entries.
64. The sixty-third step in the accounting cycle is:	Preparing financial statements.
65. The sixty-fourth step in the accounting cycle is:	Closing the books.
66. The sixty-fifth step in the accounting cycle is:	Reversing the closing entries.
67. The sixty-sixth step in the accounting cycle is:	Adjusting the entries.
68. The sixty-seventh step in the accounting cycle is:	Preparing financial statements.
69. The sixty-eighth step in the accounting cycle is:	Closing the books.
70. The sixty-ninth step in the accounting cycle is:	Reversing the closing entries.
71. The seventieth step in the accounting cycle is:	Adjusting the entries.
72. The seventy-first step in the accounting cycle is:	Preparing financial statements.
73. The seventy-second step in the accounting cycle is:	Closing the books.
74. The seventy-third step in the accounting cycle is:	Reversing the closing entries.
75. The seventy-fourth step in the accounting cycle is:	Adjusting the entries.
76. The seventy-fifth step in the accounting cycle is:	Preparing financial statements.
77. The seventy-sixth step in the accounting cycle is:	Closing the books.
78. The seventy-seventh step in the accounting cycle is:	Reversing the closing entries.
79. The seventy-eighth step in the accounting cycle is:	Adjusting the entries.
80. The seventy-ninth step in the accounting cycle is:	Preparing financial statements.
81. The eightieth step in the accounting cycle is:	Closing the books.
82. The eighty-first step in the accounting cycle is:	Reversing the closing entries.
83. The eighty-second step in the accounting cycle is:	Adjusting the entries.
84. The eighty-third step in the accounting cycle is:	Preparing financial statements.
85. The eighty-fourth step in the accounting cycle is:	Closing the books.
86. The eighty-fifth step in the accounting cycle is:	Reversing the closing entries.
87. The eighty-sixth step in the accounting cycle is:	Adjusting the entries.
88. The eighty-seventh step in the accounting cycle is:	Preparing financial statements.
89. The eighty-eighth step in the accounting cycle is:	Closing the books.
90. The eighty-ninth step in the accounting cycle is:	Reversing the closing entries.
91. The ninetieth step in the accounting cycle is:	Adjusting the entries.
92. The ninety-first step in the accounting cycle is:	Preparing financial statements.
93. The ninety-second step in the accounting cycle is:	Closing the books.
94. The ninety-third step in the accounting cycle is:	Reversing the closing entries.
95. The ninety-fourth step in the accounting cycle is:	Adjusting the entries.
96. The ninety-fifth step in the accounting cycle is:	Preparing financial statements.
97. The ninety-sixth step in the accounting cycle is:	Closing the books.
98. The ninety-seventh step in the accounting cycle is:	Reversing the closing entries.
99. The ninety-eighth step in the accounting cycle is:	Adjusting the entries.
100. The ninety-ninth step in the accounting cycle is:	Preparing financial statements.
101. The one hundredth step in the accounting cycle is:	Closing the books.

1. The first step is to identify the problem or question that needs to be answered. This involves understanding the context and the specific requirements of the task.

[illegible]

